

Reference: N.014-130-2023/1RF

Date: 29 November 2023

Email: ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za

Fax Number: +27 (0) 12 348 1680

Direct Line: +27 (0) 12 426 6200

Website: www.nra.co.za



Dear Tenderers

**CONTRACT SANRAL N.014-130-2023/1RF
FOR CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE RESURFACING OF NATIONAL ROUTE N14
SECTION 13 FROM KM 49.7 TO KM 67.69
ADDENDUM NO. 1**

This Addendum is issued in terms of Clause C.3.2 of the Tender Data and must be read in conjunction with and shall be deemed to form part of the Tender Document.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing the ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM below. Failure to acknowledge this addendum may invalidate the Tender.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mathebula', is written over a horizontal line.

TSAKANE MATHEBULA

PG3 CHAIRPERSON

SANRAL NORTHERN REGION

(Please note **RED** is to indicate amendment instructions)

AMENDMENT NO. 1	
All BOOKS	Wherever reference is made to Project Number N.014-130-20231F replace with N.014-130-2023/1RF
Cover Page Book 1, Book 2 and Book 3	Replace BASE DATE MARCH 2023 with DECEMBER 2023
BOOK 1 OF 3	
Clause / Item	Amendment / Addition
T1.1	Delete the following: CLOSING DATE (AT 11:00): Thursday, 13 April 2023 Replace the date and time with: CLOSING DATE (AT 11:00) Wednesday, 24 January 2024
T1.1	Delete the following sentence: This project is in the province of Gauteng and North West and in the district municipalities of Dr Kenneth Kaunda and West Rand District and the approximate programme is for design and construction documentation to be completed by January 2024, followed by supervision of 15 months, commencing June 2024. Replace with the following: This project is in the province of Gauteng and in the West Rand District Municipality and the approximate programme is for design and construction documentation to be completed by October 2024, followed by supervision of 15 months, commencing December 2024.
T1.1	Delete the following sentence: Only tenderers with a B-BBEE contributor status level of 1, 2, 3 or 4, who are registered on the National Treasury Central Supplier Database and meet the minimum requirements for the key persons as stipulated in Clause C.2.1 at the tender closing date, are eligible to tender. Replace with the following: "Only tenderers who are registered on the National Treasury Central Supplier Database and meet the minimum requirements for the key persons as stipulated in Clause C.2.1 at the tender closing date, are eligible to tender."
T1.1	TENDER DOCUMENTS Delete the following: Tender documents are available from Friday, 3 March 2023 at no cost in electronic format downloadable from the SANRAL's website by following the link: https://www.nra.co.za/sanral-tenders/status?region_id=national . Tenderers must have access to Microsoft © Office 2013 and Acrobat Adobe © 9.0 or similar compatible software. Tenderers must submit, via email, the duly completed Form A1.1 Certificate of Intention to Submit a Tender prior to Friday, 10 March 2023. Failure to submit this certificate would result in the tenderer not

	receiving addenda or additional issued information and may result in the tenderer being non-responsive. Replace with the following: Tender documents are available from Wednesday, 29 November 2023 at no cost in electronic format downloadable from the SANRAL's website by following the link: https://www.nra.co.za/sanral-tenders/status?region_id=national . Tenderers must have access to Microsoft © Office 2013 and Acrobat Adobe © 9.0 or similar compatible software. Tenderers must submit, via email, the duly completed Form A1.1 Certificate of Intention to Submit a Tender prior to Wednesday, 6 December 2023. Failure to submit this certificate would result in the tenderer not receiving addenda or additional issued information and may result in the tenderer being non-responsive, if "any material amendment/s" contained in the addenda or additional information is not included in the tender offer/submission.
T1.1	TENDERER'S MEETING Delete the following: No clarification meeting A tenderer's clarification briefing presentation is available to be downloaded from the SANRAL website by the following link https://www.nra.co.za/sanral-tenders/status?region_id=national Replace with the following: Non-compulsory clarification meeting (Virtual) A non- compulsory clarification briefing meeting will be held via a virtual platform on Monday, 4 December 2023 at 11:00 where the project will be presented. A link to the clarification briefing meeting will be sent to tenderers.
T1.1	CLOSING TIME, COMPLETION AND DELIVERY OF TENDERS Delete the following: 11:00 on Thursday, 13 April 2023 Replace the date and time with: "11:00 on Wednesday, 24 January 2024
T1.1	CLOSING TIME, COMPLETION AND DELIVERY OF TENDERS Delete the following: ProcurementNR1@sanral.co.za Replace the date and time with: ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za
C1.4	Delete the following: ProcurementNR1@sanral.co.za Replace the date and time with: ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za



C.2.1 Eligibility	Delete the following: c) Criteria for preferential procurement. Tenderers that have a B-BBEE contributor status level of 1, 2, 3 or 4 Tenderers shall submit a valid B-BBEE certificate or sworn affidavit (where applicable) in compliance with Tender Data C3.11 as proof of eligibility"
C2.7	Clarification meeting Delete the following: No clarification meeting A tenderer's clarification briefing presentation is available to be downloaded from the SANRAL website by the following link https://www.nra.co.za/sanral-tenders/status?region_id=national Replace with the following: Non-compulsory clarification meeting (Virtual) A non- compulsory clarification briefing meeting will be held via a virtual platform on Monday, 4 December 2023 at 11:00 where the project will be presented. A link to the clarification briefing meeting will be sent to tenderers.
C.2.13.2	Delete the following: Submission in the tender box • Submit the tender offer electronically on a flash drive and printed hard copy of Form of Offer and summary of pricing schedule . • (In the relevant MS Word 2013 and MS Excel 2013 format as issued, and not in .pdf format, except where so specified.) Replace with the following: Submission in the tender box • Submit the tender offer electronically on a flash drive. • (In the relevant MS Word 2013 and MS Excel 2013 format as issued, and not in .pdf format, except where so specified and a printed copy of the Form of Offer and Summary of pricing schedule.)
2.13.6	Delete the following: b. A folder is provided marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" a. Ensure that all returnable schedules listed in T2.1 List of Returnable Schedules for inclusion in the Technical Proposal and listed in the Technical Proposal folder are electronically completed (all A-Forms on the provided MS Word file and convert to pdf. As all B-Forms on the provided MS Excel file). Wherever it is a requirement to attach certificates or letters to the returnable schedules, these should be scanned in.pdf format. b. Upload the A-Forms (pdf.), B-Forms (excel and pdf) and certificates or letters (pdf.) on the flash drive.



	The completed flash drive should be marked with the tenderer's company name, the project number and description and marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL". c. Scan and insert a valid B-BBEE certificate. d. Scan and insert a copy of the completed Form C2.4: Key Persons for this Project. e. Electronically sign the declaration in the folder. f. Insert the electronically completed flash drive in an envelope marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL". Replace with the following: Option 1: Submission in the tender box Replace with the following: A two-envelope procedure will apply as follows: 1. <i>A folder is provided marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL"</i> a. <i>Ensure that all returnable schedules listed in T2.1 List of Returnable Schedules for inclusion in the Technical Proposal and listed in the Technical Proposal folder are electronically completed (all A-Forms on the provided MS Word file and convert to pdf. As all B-Forms on the provided MS Excel file). Wherever it is a requirement to attach certificates or letters to the returnable schedules, these should be scanned in.pdf format.</i> b. <i>Upload the A-Forms (pdf.), B-Forms (excel and pdf) and certificates or letters (pdf.) on the flash drive.</i> <i>The completed flash drive should be marked with the tenderer's company name, the project number and description and marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL".</i> c. <i>Scan and insert a valid B-BBEE certificate.</i> d. <i>Scan and insert a copy of the completed Form C2.4: Key Persons for this Project and Normalised Hours tendered.</i> e. <i>Electronically sign the declaration in the folder.</i> f. <i>Insert the electronically completed flash drive in an envelope marked "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL".</i>
C2.15.2	Submission in Tender box: Delete the following: 11:00 on Thursday, 13 April 2023. Replace the date and time with: "11:00 on Wednesday, 24 January 2024"



C3.4.1	Opening of tender submissions Delete the following: 11h00 on Thursday, 13 April 2023 Replace the date and time with: "11:00 on Wednesday, 24 January 2024"
C3.5	Delete the following: 70 Replace with the following: 80
C3.7	Delete the following: "Prior to disqualification in terms of section 14.1 of PPR 2017, the Employer shall inform the tenderer and give the tenderer an opportunity to make representations within 14 days as to why the tender submitted should not be disqualified and as to why the tenderer should not be restricted by the National Treasury from conducting any business with any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years." Replace with the following: "The Employer shall inform the tenderer and give the tenderer an opportunity to make representations within 14 days as to why the tender submitted should not be disqualified and as to why the tenderer should not be restricted by the National Treasury from conducting any business with any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years."
C 3.9	Add the following: Should the original price not be retained after balancing the rate/s, the submission will be rendered non responsive The above to be added just below: Where there is an omission of a line item, no correction is possible and the offer will be declared non-responsive Add the following sentence: During testing of tender responsiveness, clause C.3.8 of CIDB tender conditions will be applicable The above to be added below: Declare as non-responsive and reject any offer from a tenderer who elects not to accept the corrections proposed and/or fails to justify or balance the imbalanced rates to the satisfaction of the employer Delete the following: Declare as non-responsive and reject any offer from a tenderer who elects not to accept the corrections



	proposed and/or fails to justify or balance the imbalanced rates to the satisfaction of the employer. Replace with the following: Declare as non-responsive and reject any offer from a tenderer who elects not to accept the proposed correction of the; errors, omissions or imbalanced rates.																												
C.3.11	Evaluating quality / functionality Delete the following: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Description of quality criteria</th><th>Maximum number of Tender evaluation points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Technical Knowledge: technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)</td><td>20</td></tr> <tr> <td>Management Knowledge: managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)</td><td>25</td></tr> <tr> <td>Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr> <td>Sub-contracting to Targeted Enterprises</td><td>20</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total evaluation points for quality (M_s)</td><td>100</td></tr> </tbody> </table> The maximum number of evaluation points for quality is not less than 70 Replace with the following: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Description of quality criteria</th><th>Maximum number of Tender evaluation points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr> <td>Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)</td><td>15</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Description of quality criteria	Maximum number of Tender evaluation points	Technical Knowledge: technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)	20	Management Knowledge: managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)	10	Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)	25	Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)	10	Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)	15	Sub-contracting to Targeted Enterprises	20	Total evaluation points for quality (M _s)	100	Description of quality criteria	Maximum number of Tender evaluation points	Technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)	30	Managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)	15	Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)	30	Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)	10	Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)	15
Description of quality criteria	Maximum number of Tender evaluation points																												
Technical Knowledge: technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)	20																												
Management Knowledge: managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)	10																												
Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)	25																												
Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)	10																												
Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)	15																												
Sub-contracting to Targeted Enterprises	20																												
Total evaluation points for quality (M _s)	100																												
Description of quality criteria	Maximum number of Tender evaluation points																												
Technical experience comparable projects (Form B1)	30																												
Managerial ability in comparable projects (Form B2)	15																												
Workplan Appropriateness (WA) to the size and nature of the work (Form C2.4)	30																												
Quality assurance systems which ensure conformance to Employer's stated requirements (Form B4)	10																												
Past performance experience on reference projects (Form B6)	15																												



	<table border="1" data-bbox="394 428 1297 478"> <tr> <td data-bbox="394 428 1109 478">Total evaluation points for quality (M_s)</td><td data-bbox="1109 428 1297 478">100</td></tr> </table> The minimum number of evaluation points for quality is not less than 80	Total evaluation points for quality (M _s)	100
Total evaluation points for quality (M _s)	100		
C3.11	Evaluating price and preference Delete and replace with: Evaluating price and preference i. 80/20 preference point system for acquisition of goods and services for Rand value equal to or above R2 000 and up to R50 million The following formula will be used to calculate three points out of 80 for price: $P_s = 80(1 - (P_t - P_m) / P_m)$ Where: P_s is the points scored for price of tender under consideration. P_t is the price of the tender under consideration; and P_m is the price of the lowest acceptable tender. ii. 90/10 preference point system for acquisition of goods and services for Rand value above R50 million The following formula will be used to calculate the points out of 90 for price: $P_s = 90(1 - (P_t - P_m) / P_m)$ Where:		



Ps is the points scored for price of tender under consideration.

Pt is the price of the tender under consideration; and

Pm is the price of the lowest acceptable tender.

In the event that the calculated value is negative, the allocated score shall be 0 (zero).

Scoring preference (Specific Goals):

Points for specific goals will be awarded according to the table below:

Specific goals	Criteria	10 points		20 points	
		Point allocation	Maximum points	Point allocation	Maximum points
B-BBEE Level	Level 1	10.00	10.00	20.00	20.00
	Level 2	9.00		18.00	
	Level 3	6.00		14.00	
	Level 4	5.00		12.00	
	Level 5	4.00		8.00	
	Level 6	3.00		6.00	
	Level 7	2.00		4.00	
	Level 8	1.00		2.00	
	Non-compliant contributor	0.00		0.00	

A valid B-BBEE verification certificate must be submitted.

- The tenderer's scorecard shall be a B-BBEE Certificate issued in accordance with:
 - the amended Construction Sector Codes published in Notice 931 of 2017 of Government Gazette No. 41287 on 1 December 2017 by the Department of Trade and Industry; or
 - in the event that the Measured Entity operates in more than one sector or a sub-sector, the scorecard for the sector or sub-sector in which the majority of its core activities (measured in terms of annual revenue) are located will be acceptable. The tenderer must comply with the annual revenue thresholds for EME or QSE or Generic in accordance with the amended Construction Sector Codes; and
 - Be in the form of a sworn affidavit (accompanied by a financial statement or Management Account on the latest financial year) or a certificate issued by the Companies and Intellectual Property



	Commission in the case of an Exempted Micro Enterprise (EME) with a total annual revenue of less than R1.8 million if issued in accordance with the amended Construction Sector Codes published in Notice 931 of 2017 of Government Gazette No. 41287 on 1 December 2017 by the Department of Trade and Industry; and The scorecard shall be submitted as a certificate attached to Returnable Schedule Form D1; and i. The certificate shall: ii. - be valid at the tender closing date; and - have been issued by a verification agency accredited by the South African National Accreditation System (SANAS); or - be in the form of a sworn affidavit or a certificate issued by the Companies and Intellectual Property Commission in the case of an Exempted Micro Enterprise (EME) with a total annual revenue of less than R1.8million if issued in accordance with the amended Construction Sector Codes published in Notice 931 of 2017 of Government Gazette No. 41287 on 1 December 2017 by the Department of Trade and Industry; and - have a date of issue less than 12 (twelve) months prior to the original advertised tender closing date (see Tender Data C.2.15); and iii. A valid BBBEE Certificates shall contain: - Name of enterprise as per enterprise registration documents issued by CIPC, and enterprise business address. - Value-Added Tax number, where applicable. - The B-BBEE Scorecard against which the certificate is issued, indicating all elements and scores achieved for each element. The actual score achieved must be linked to the total points as per the relevant Codes. - B-BBEE status with corresponding procurement recognition level. - The relevant Codes used to issue the B-BBEE verification certificate. - Date of issue and expiry (e.g. 9 June 2018 to 8 June 2019). Where a measured entity was subjected to a re-verification process, due to material change, the B-BBEE Verification Certificate must reflect the initial date of issue, date of re-issue and the initial date of expiry. Re-verification does not extend the lifespan of the B-BBEE Verification Certificate. - Financial period which was used to issue the B-BBEE Verification Certificate. iv. A valid Sworn Affidavit shall contain: - Name/s of deponent as they appear in the identity document and the identity number. - Designation of the deponent as either the director, owner or member must be indicated in order to know that person is duly authorised to depose of an affidavit. - Name of enterprise as per enterprise registration documents issued by the CIPC, where applicable, and enterprise business address. - Percentage black ownership, black female ownership and whether they fall within a designated group. - Indicate total revenue for the year under review and whether it is based on audited financial statements or management accounts. - Financial year-end as per the enterprise's registration documents, which was used to determine the total revenue. The valid format of the Financial Year-End is Day/Month/Year - B-BBEE status level. An enterprise can only have one status level. - Date deponent signed and date of Commissioner of Oath must be the same. - Commissioner of Oath cannot be an employee or ex officio of the enterprise because, a person cannot by law, commission a sworn affidavit in which they have an interest, and v. Compliance with any other information requested to be attached to Returnable Schedule Form D1; and
--	--



	vi. In the event of a Joint Venture (JV), a project-specific consolidated (SANRAL project number indicated) valid B-BBEE verification certificate in the name of the JV, issued by a verification agency accredited by the South African National Accreditation System (SANAS) shall be submitted. Sub-contracting If the tender documents indicate that the tenderer intends sub-contracting more than 25% of the value of the contract to any other person not qualifying for at least the status level that the tenderer qualifies for, 0 (zero) points for B-BBEE level (under Specific Goals) shall be awarded, unless the intended sub-contractor is an EME that has the capacity to execute the sub-contract. Criteria for breaking deadlock If two or more tenders score the same number of points and these tenders are also the highest ranked tenders, the tender with the highest preference points will be recommended for award. If functionality is part of the evaluation process and two or more tenders score equal total points and equal preference points, the tender that scored the highest points for functionality will be recommended for award. If two or more tenders score the same number of financial points and preference points and these tenders are also the highest ranked tenders, the tenderer to be recommended for award will be decided by the drawing of lots.
C3.13	In the first paragraph replace "C.3.13(g) to (k)" with "C.3.13(g) to (l)" Add the following after k): l) Price negotiations. 1. If the price offered by a tenderer scoring the highest points is not market related, the Organ of state may not award the tender to that tenderer. 2. The Organs of state may – i. Negotiate a market related price with the tender scoring the highest points or cancel the tender; ii. If the tenderer does not agree to a market related price, negotiate a market related price with the tenderer scoring the second highest points or cancel the tender; iii. If the tenderer scoring the second highest points does not agree to a market related price, negotiate a market related price with the tenderer scoring the third highest points or cancel the tender; 3. If a market related price is not agreed as envisaged in paragraph 2(iii), the organ of state must cancel the tender.



T2.1 RETURNABLE SCHEDULES	ROW: FORM A1 Amend FORM DESCRIPTION as follows: CERTIFICATE OF TENDERER'S BRIEFING ROW: FORM A3.3/SBD8 Delete "/SBD8" in the FORM NO and the word "REPEALED" in the FORM DESCRIPTION ROW: FORM D1 Add the following after CERTIFICATE "AND PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM"														
FORM A1.1	Replace with Appendix A format														
FORM A3.3	Replace with Appendix B format														
Form A13	Replace Form A13 with Attached Appendix C The title of the forms is not changed.														
AMENDMENT NUMBER 2															
SANRAL – EXPLANATION OF CONSULTANT TENDER EVALUATION SCORING FOR CONVENTIONAL PROJECTS	Delete and replace with the following: This document does not look at the tenderer's eligibility, compliance and responsive requirements, but merely explains the scoring methodology that is applied to tenders deemed complaint and responsive. The criterion for scoring is made up as follows: <table border="1" data-bbox="391 1459 1276 1688"> <thead> <tr> <th>Evaluation Criteria</th><th>Points</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Technical Knowledge</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Management Knowledge</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr> <td>Quality System</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Past Performance</td><td>15</td></tr> <tr> <td>Workplan Appropriateness</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td><td>100</td></tr> </tbody> </table> Dependant on the degree of complexity of the type of project and service requirements, the threshold is either a Category 1, 2 or 3, which equates to 70%, 80% or 85% respectively. This is decided before the	Evaluation Criteria	Points	Technical Knowledge	30	Management Knowledge	15	Quality System	10	Past Performance	15	Workplan Appropriateness	30	TOTAL	100
Evaluation Criteria	Points														
Technical Knowledge	30														
Management Knowledge	15														
Quality System	10														
Past Performance	15														
Workplan Appropriateness	30														
TOTAL	100														



tender is let and is indicated in the tender document.

For breakdown of individual components:

Technical Knowledge (30)

The tenderer, for each key position identified, may supply the 3 (three) most recent relevant project experience to that which the tender is let for. This is done in the form of returnable schedules, such as the one below:

FORM B1:		POSITION - PL1		MANAGERIAL POSITION		CANDIDATE'S TECHNICAL/MANAGERIAL RECORD			
Personal Details of Candidate									
NAME		ID NUMBER (NO SPACES)		POSITION IN TEAM		Description			
				Project Leader					
TECHNICAL / MANAGERIAL EXPERIENCE (List only the most recent 5 projects that the tenderer considers relevant to the specified scope of work)									
CLIENT & PROJECT NUMBER (Note 2)	CLIENT (coded)	PROJECT TYPE (coded) (Note 3) * Add additional info in Comments box below if required.	RELEVANT KEY PERSONS EXPERIENCE STARTED (yyyy/mm/dd) (Note 4)	RELEVANT KEY PERSONS EXPERIENCE ENDED (yyyy/mm/dd) (Note 4)	VALUE (in millions of Rand) (* ,000,000) (Note 5)	POSITION HELD (Note 6)	POSITION HELD (coded)	Contact Person & Position (Note 7)	Contact Number
Other	Other	NONE	Year Month Day Year	Year Month Day Year		Undefined			
Other	Other	NONE	Year Month Day Year	Year Month Day Year		Undefined			
Other	Other	NONE	Year Month Day Year	Year Month Day Year		Undefined			

For technical knowledge three (3) areas are evaluated:

- 1) Project Type Relevance
- 2) Period Relevance
- 3) Position Held

Below are lists with possible key persons (with abbreviations) and different project types (with abbreviations) to be selected from:

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITIONS
MO/MA (Excl. RRM)	Maintenance Operations and Ad-hoc Maintenance (excluding RRM projects)
MP/MS	Periodic Maintenance and Special Maintenance
DS	Development Strengthening
DI	Development Improvement
DN	Development New Facilities
CD	Community Development
RRM	Routine Road Maintenance
MSC	Special Maintenance Concrete
MSB	Maintenance Special Bridge
DIB	Development Improvement Bridge
DNB	Development New Bridge
MSS	Maintenance Special Structures
DIS	Development Improvement Structures
DNS	Development New Structures
STREET LIGHTING	Street Lighting



TRAFFIC LIGHTS	Traffic Lights
BUILDING RETICULATION	Building Reticulation
INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	Intersection Improvements
CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT	Capacity Improvement
ADDITIONAL LANES	Additional Lanes
INTERCHANGE DESIGN	Interchange Design
H/V RE-ALIGNMENT	Horizontal / Vertical Re-Alignment
NEW ALIGNMENT	New Alignment
ROUTE DETERMINATION	Route Determination
Strategic modelling/ demand forecasting	Strategic modelling/ demand forecasting
Static (meso) network simulation models	Static (meso) network simulation models
Dynamic (micro) simulation modelling	Dynamic (micro) simulation modelling
Traffic impact studies / local intersections	Traffic impact studies / local intersections
NMT and PT operations	NMT and PT operations
Transport economic studies	Transport economic studies
Transport policy development	Transport policy development
ITS systems	ITS systems
Earthworks Investigation & Design	Investigation and design of earthworks for slopes/cuttings, embankments/fills, borrow pits or quarries
Retaining Structure Investigation & Design	Investigation and design of conventional retaining structures (gravity-, piling-, cantilever-, anchored-walls etc.) or alternative retaining structures (soil nailing, soil strengthened walls, MSE etc.)
Foundation Investigation & Design	Investigation and design of shallow/deep foundations for bridges, major culverts or retaining structures
Tunnelling Investigation & Design	Investigation and design of tunnels for transport infrastructure
MAJOR TCC (WEIGH BRIDGES)	Major Traffic Control Centres (Weighbridge)
TOLLS	Tolls
FMS	Freeway Management Systems
MINOR TCC (BASIC WEIGH BRIDGE)	Minor Traffic Control Centres (Basic Weighbridge)
Geohazard Investigation (Slope Stability)	• Slope Stability Investigation • Slope Condition Assessment • Slope Performance Monitoring (instrumental monitoring/remote sensing/GIS) • Slope Stability Management System



Geohazard Investigation (Subsidence)	- Dolomite Stability Investigation - Subsidence Assessment - Subsidence Monitoring (instrumental monitoring/remote sensing/GIS) - Dolomite/Subsidence Management System
Geotechnical Investigation (CL, Quarry and BP Materials Related)	- Subsurface investigation, material profiling, geological/geophysical logging, sampling, testing or mapping using boring methods - Trial pits, soil samplers or in-situ testing methods
Geotechnical Investigation (Tunnelling)	Subsurface investigation, material profiling, geological/geophysical logging, sampling, testing or mapping using boring or geophysical methods
Geophysical Investigation (Ground Based & Airborne)	Subsurface investigation, material profiling, testing or mapping using ground based or airborne geophysical methods

ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
PL	Project Leader
APL	Assistant Project Leader
DS	Design Specialist
DA	Design Assistant
CE	Contracts Engineer
ACE	Assistant Contracts Engineer
RE	Resident Engineer
ARE	Assistant Resident Engineer
RM	RRM Route Manager
ARM	Assistant Route Manager
SMT	Senior Materials Technician
CM	Contracts Manager
SA	Site Agent
MT	Materials Technician
ETS	Electronics Systems Engineer
PL(T)	Project Leader (Toll)
DS(T)	Design Specialist (Toll)
CE(T)	Contracts Engineer (Toll)
CM(T)	Contracts Manager (Toll)
ETS(T)	Electronics Systems Engineer (Toll)
ES	Electrical Systems Engineer
MS	Mechanical Systems Engineer
PEI	Project Engineer (Client)

1) Project Type Relevance

The relevance of the project type is evaluated against a pre-determined key person specific matrix. For a comprehensive list of matrixes please refer to the Consultant Technical Submission Spreadsheet. Below are examples of the matrices for various key Persons:

PL, CE, RE Conventional



Project type Experience	Project Type Relevance					
	MO/M A	MP/MS	DS	DI	DN	CD
NONE	0	0	0	0	0	0
MO/MA	5	3	1	1	1	2
MP/MS	5	5	2	2	2	3
DS	5	5	5	3	3	4
DI	5	5	5	5	4	5
DN	5	5	5	5	5	5
CD	4	3	2	2	2	5

DS Pavement and Materials

Project type Experience	1	2	3	4	5
	MP/MS	MSC	DS	DI/DN	
1 NONE	0	0	0	0	
2 MP/MS	5	0	2	2	
3 MSC	0	5	0	0	
4 DS	5	3	5	4	
5 DI/DN	5	3	5	5	



DS Structures

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Project type Experience	MSB	DIB	DNB	MSS	DIS	DNS
1	NONE	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	MSB	5	2	2	5	3	3
3	DIB	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	DNB	5	4	5	5	5	5
5	MSS	3	0	0	5	2	2
6	DIS	4	3	3	5	5	5
7	DNS	4	2	2	5	4	5

DS Electrical

	1	2	3	4
	Project type Experience	STREET LIGHTING	TRAFFIC LIGHTS	BUILDING RETICULATION
1	NONE	0	0	0
2	STREET LIGHTING	5	4	2
3	TRAFFIC LIGHTS	2	5	1
4	BUILDING RETICULATION	1	3	5



DS Geometrics

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
type	Project	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT	ADDITIONAL LANES	INTERCHANGE DESIGN	H/V RE-ALIGNMENT	NEW ALIGNMENT
Experience							
1	NONE	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	5	3	2	3	2	1
3	CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT	4	5	3	2	3	3
4	ADDITIONAL LANES	4	3	5	3	4	4
5	INTERCHANGE DESIGN	5	3	3	5	4	3
6	H/V RE-ALIGNMENT	4	3	2	2	5	4
7	NEW ALIGNMENT	5	3	3	3	5	5
8	ROUTE DETERMINATION	0	0	0	0	2	5

DS TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
type	Project	INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS	CAPACITY IMPROVEMENT	ADDITIONAL LANES	INTERCHANGE ANALYSIS	Traffic Modelling	NEW ALIGNMENT	Public Transport & NMT	Traffic Impact Studies	Trans Economics and Financing	Transport Policy	ITS Systems
Experience												
1	NONE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Strategic modelling/ demand forecasting	2	3	3	4	5	5	1	2	4	2	0
3	Static (meso) network simulation models	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	2	2	2
4	Dynamic (micro) simulation modelling	5	5	5	5	4	3	2	4	0	0	3
5	Traffic impact studies / local intersections	5	3	4	3	2	2	2	5	0	0	0
6	NMT and PT operations	2	1	2	0	2	0	5	2	2	2	0
7	Transport economic studies	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	5	3	0
8	Transport policy development	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	5	0
9	ITS systems	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5



DS GEOTECHNICAL

	1	2	3	4	5
Experience \ Project type		Earthworks Investigation & Design	Retaining Structure Investigation & Design	Foundation Investigation & Design	Tunnelling Investigation & Design
1	NONE	0	0	0	0
2	Earthworks Investigation & Design	5	4	2	3
3	Retaining Structure Investigation & Design	4	5	3	3
4	Foundation Investigation & Design	2	3	5	2
5	Tunnelling Investigation & Design	3	3	3	5

DS ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS

	1	2	3	4	5
Experience \ Project type		MAJOR TCC (WEIGH BRIDGES)	TOLLS	FMS	MINOR TCC (BASIC WEIGH BRIDGE)
1	NONE	0	0	0	0
2	MAJOR TCC (WEIGH BRIDGES)	5	4	2	3
3	TOLLS	4	5	0	0
4	FMS	2	0	5	0
5	MINOR TCC (BASIC WEIGH BRIDGE)	3	0	0	5



DS Engineering Geologist

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Project type Experience		Geohazard Investigation (Slope Stability)	Geohazard Investigation (Subsidence)	Geotechnical Investigation (CL, Quarry and BP Materials Related)	Geotechnical Investigation (Tunnelling)	Geophysical Investigation (Ground Based & Airborne)
1	NONE	0	0	0	0	0
2	Geohazard Investigation (Slope Stability)	5	1	2	2	2
3	Geohazard Investigation (Subsidence)	2	5	3	2	3
4	Geotechnical Investigation (CL, Quarry and BP Materials Related)	3	3	5	3	4
5	Geotechnical Investigation (Tunnelling)	4	4	4	5	4
6	Geophysical Investigation (Ground Based & Airborne)	2	3	4	4	5

For each project experience listed, a point (out of a maximum 5) from the matrix per project type relevancy and 15 (fifteen) points overall for project type relevancy can be scored for each key person.

2) Period Relevance

For each project experience listed, a point is allocated for the time lapse between the experience gained and the tender closing date. If the experience is within 10 (ten) years of the tender closing a point of 5 (five) is allocated. If the experience is beyond 10 (ten) years but less than 15 (fifteen) years, 2.5 (two and a half) point is allocated. If the experience is longer than 15 (fifteen) years ago, 0 (zero) points are allocated.

If the experience is less than 6 (six) months, a pro-rata point is allocated to that of the point that would have been achieved as the experience is of a limited duration.

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project period relevancy and 15 (fifteen) points overall for project period relevancy can be scored for each key person.

3) Position Held

The relevance of the position held during the project experience against the position that is tendered for is evaluated:



Technical Experience **STANDARD DEFINED**

Position Rq Experience	PL	APL	DS	DA	CE	ACE	RE	ARE	RM	ARM	SMT	CM	SA	MT	ETS	DS(T)	CE(T)	CM(T)	ETS(T)	ES	MS	PE(E)	UNDEFINED
PL	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	0
APL	2.5	5	2.5	5	3.75	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DS	2.5	2.5	5	5	3.75	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0
DA	0	0	2.5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CE	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	0
ACE	2.5	5	2.5	5	3.75	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0
RE	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	4.5	2.5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	0
ARE	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	5	5	5	2.5	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RM	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	3.75	0	2.5	5	5	5	2.5	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ARM	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SMT	0	0	2.5	2.5	1.25	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CM	2.5	5	2.5	5	5	2.5	5	5	5	5	2.5	5	5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	0
SA	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.75	2.5	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MT	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ETS	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	2.5	0
DS(T)	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2.5	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	0	0
CE(T)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	0	5	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0
CM(T)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	0	5	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0
ETS(T)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	2.5	2.5	0	0
ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	5	2.5	0	0
MS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	0	0	0	2.5	2.5	5	0	0
PE(E)	2.5	5	2.5	5	5	2.5	2.5	5	5	5	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	5	0
Undefined	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project position held relevancy (technical experience) and 15 (fifteen) points overall for position held relevancy can be scored for each key person.

Therefore, a total of 45 (forty-five) points can be scored for each key person listed in the tender document. The total for all key persons is totalled and this score is then converted back down into a score out of a maximum of 30 (thirty) for technical knowledge.

Management Knowledge (15)

The same returnable schedules as for the Technical Knowledge is used to evaluate the Managerial Knowledge.

For management knowledge up to 2 (two) areas are evaluated:

- 1) Client
- 2) Position Held (only for managerial positions, e.g. PL; CE; RE)

- 1) Client



The tender is allocated points for the client the work experience was done for:

CLIENT CODE	POINT
National Roads Authority	5
Concessionaire	5
Provincial Authority	5
Relevant SOE	4.5
Metro Council	4.5
Municipality	4
Private	3.75
Other	3.75

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project client relevancy and 15 (fifteen) points overall for client relevancy can be scored.

2) Position Held (only for managerial positions)

This is only used for certain key positions that are expected to perform a managerial function during the contract. The relevance of the position held during the managerial experience against the position that is tendered for is evaluated:



Managerial Experience

Position Rq Experience	PL	CE	RE	RM	PL(T)	CE(T)	CM(T)	
PL	5	5	5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	PL Project Leader
APL	2.5	3.75	5	5	0	0	0	APL Alternate Project Leader
DS	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	DS Design Specialist
DA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	DA Design Assistant
CE	5	5	5	5	0	2.5	0	CE Contracts Engineer
ACE	2.5	3.75	5	5	0	0	0	ACE Alternate Contracts Engineer
RE	2.5	5	5	5	0	0	0	RE Resident Engineer
ARE	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	ARE Assistant Resident Engineer
RM	2.5	5	2.5	5	0	0	0	RM RRM Route Manager
ARM	0	2.5	0	2.5	0	0	0	ARM Assistant Route Manager
SMT	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	SMT Senior Materials Technician
CM	5	5	5	5	0	0	2.5	CM Contracts Manager
SA	2.5	5	5	5	0	0	0	SA Site Agent
MT	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0	0	MT
ETS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	PL(T) Project Leader (Toll)
DS(T)	0	0	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	ETS Electronics Systems Engineer
CE(T)	2.5	5	2.5	2.5	5	5	5	DS(T) Design Specialist (Toll)
CM(T)	0	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	5	CE(T) Contracts Engineer (Toll)
ETS(T)	0	0	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	CM(T) Contracts Manager (Toll)
ES	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	ETS(T) Electronics Systems Engineer (Toll)
MS	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	ES Electrical Systems Engineer
PE(E)	2.5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	MS Mechanical Systems Engineer
								PE(E) Project Engineer (Client)

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project position held relevancy (managerial experience) and 15 (fifteen) points overall for position held relevancy can be scored.

Therefore a total of 15 (fifteen) – non-managerial positions, and 30 (thirty) points – managerial positions, can be scored for each key position listed in the tender document. The total for all key positions are totalled and this score is then converted back down into a score out of 15 (fifteen) for managerial experience.

Quality System (10)

The quality assurance system offered by the tenderer is evaluated as follows:



Quality Assurance System Type	
1 System has ISO Certification	10
2 System has been registered for certification	6
3 System is an in-house production	4

For full ISO certification, a tenderer is awarded 10 (ten) points, for a quality assurance system that has been submitted to ISO for certification, but not yet I, 6 (six) points are allocated. If the tenderer's quality assurance system is purely an in-house system, 4 (four) points are allocated.

The total number of points allocated are tallied and a score out of 10 (ten) is awarded.

Past Performance (15)

Past Performance reports must be submitted by the tenderer for any 3 (three) completed projects in the last 10 (ten) years by the tenderer (any member of the Joint venture).

Past Performance Ratings will be allocated based on any one or a combination of the following Performance Assessment reports:

- i. CIDB: ANNEX 1 – PSP Performance Report for completed projects

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project and 15 (fifteen) points overall can be scored for past performance as per table below.

CIDB Rating	Poor $x < -0.1$	Adequate $-0.1 \leq x < 0.9$	Good $0.9 \leq x < 1.8$	Excellent $x \geq 1.8$
SANRAL score	1	3	4	5

Reference letters

A maximum of 5 (five) points per project and 15 (fifteen) points overall can be scored for reference letters as per table below.

Rating	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent
SANRAL score	1	3	4	5



	Sworn Affidavit For tenderers with less than 3 (three) completed projects, a sworn affidavit (refer to Returnable Schedule B6) shall be submitted stating that the tenderer has in the last 10 (ten) years only completed either 0 (zero), 1 (one) or 2 (two) projects, and as a result cannot submit the required 3 (three) past performance project reports. In the event of a submitted sworn affidavit, the following will apply: a) Submission of 0 (zero) past performance project reports, a rating of “<i>Adequate</i>” or 2 (two) points will be applied for all 3 (three) projects. b) Submission of 1 (one) past performance project reports, the rating as submitted will be applied, and a rating of “<i>Adequate</i>” or 2 (two) points will be applied for each of the other 2 (two) projects. c) Submission of 2 (two) past performance project reports, the ratings as submitted will be applied, and a rating of “<i>Adequate</i>” or 2 (two) points will be applied for the other 1 (one) project. Workplan Appropriateness (30) The tender must supply proposed hours that certain identified items in the Pricing Schedule will require. This is used to gauge the tenderer’s knowledge and understanding of the amount of work required to perform the scope of work and is compared to the hours tendered by all the other tenderer’s hours to represent industry norm. Each tender submits their hours calculated from various items in the Pricing Schedule:
--	--



SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL ROADS AGENCY SOC LTD		NORMALISED HOURS	
PLEASE INSERT TENDERER NAME ON INPUT DATA SHEET			
Project Number : SANRAL.N.xxxx-xxxx-20xx/1			
Project Description: An example project			
FORM C2.4: KEY PERSONS FOR THIS PROJECT AND SUMMARY OF NORMALIZED HOURS TENDERED			
SERVICE PROVIDER			
TOTAL HOURS TENDERED FOR THE SERVICE PROVIDER (ALL CATEGORIES)		0	
TOTAL ALL NORMALISED HOURS		0.00	
Key Persons Listed for this Project			
SUMMARY OF ALL KEY PERSONS NORMALISED HOURS		NHT	% of Total Hours
POSITION	NAME		% of Key Persons Hours Only
PL1		0.00	#DIV/0!
DS1		0.00	#DIV/0!
CE1		0.00	#DIV/0!
TOTAL KEY PERSONS NORMALISED HOURS ONLY		0.00	
TOTAL ALL NORMALISED HOURS		0.00	

The Workplan Appropriateness hours are entered on a spreadsheet to calculate the 80th percentile.



CALCULATION OF HOUR BENCHMARK AND WORKPLAN APPROPRIATENESS SCORE						ENTER HOURS TENDERED AS PER C2.4
Number	Consultant	Tender Responsive	Sum Normalised Hours	Sum Normalised Hours Outliers Removed	WA Score Max= 30	
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
	Mean		0			
	Standard Deviation		0			
	Mean +50%		0			
	Mean -50%		0			
	Percentile	80%		0.00		
	Points Drop per % under BM		0.5			

The following steps are followed:

- 1) Calculate the mean of all compliant, responsive tenders.
- 2) Determine 50% above and below the mean.
- 3) Re-calculate the mean excluding any outliers identified above.
- 4) Determine the 80% percentile based on the tenderers of Sum Normalised Hours with Outliers Removed.
- 5) Allocate points (to all responsive tenders including outliers) out of 30 based on the percentage (%) difference between the tenderers normalised hours and the 80th percentile hours. Any negative values will score 0 (zero) points.
- 6) The formula used to calculate the points allocated to each compliant responsive tenderer is:

$$= \text{Workplan Appropriateness points } (30) - 100 * ((1 - \text{Tenderers hours} / 80 \text{ Percentile hours}) * \text{Points drop per \% above or below 80 percentile}(0,5))$$

Conclusion

The various scores for the 5 (five) criteria are added together to establish the final score for the tenderer and whether the tender makes the required threshold allocated to this project.

FORM B2.1	Replace Form B2.1 with attached Appendix D
FORM B7	TARGETED ENTERPRISE / SUB-CONTRACTOR DETAILS AND DECLARATION Delete and replace with the following: Notes to Tenderer: 1. Minimum sub-contracting requirement for all Entities is 30% - refer to Clause 11.7 of the Conditions

	of Contract. 2. All tendering entities: • Must complete Form B7 provided in MS Excel format and include supporting documents. • Must complete Form B7 for each and every Targeted Enterprise/sub-contractor to be utilised. • Must provide a signed duplicate Form B7 in pdf format (signed by both the Tenderer and the Targeted Enterprise/sub-contractor for each proposed Targeted Enterprise where applicable). 3. When selecting a Targeted Enterprise/sub-contractor, ensure that the following requirements are adhered to: • Targeted Enterprise/sub-contractor are limited to participate in a maximum of 6 (six) concurrent projects with the Service Provider and the Employer. • Compliance with the definition of a Targeted Enterprise as stated in the Conditions of Contract in Clause 1: Definitions. • Compliance with Treasury Regulation – refer to Clause 11.2 of the Contract Data. • It is encouraged that Targeted Enterprises from the Province in which the project is located participate in a minimum of 1/3 (one third) of the tendered percentage of Contract Price (excluding provisional and • prime cost sums and the respective mark-up but including provision for site supervision staff and the respective mark-up) – refer to Clause 5.1.7 of the Contract Data. 4. Supporting documents required: • For Targeted Enterprises from the Province in which the project is located: proof of address older than 3 (three) months and proof of B-BBEE status. • For other Targeted Enterprises: proof of B-BBEE status. 5. The financial information (full pricing schedule) must not be included in the Technical Envelope. A tenderer who includes the financial information in the Technical Envelope will be declared non-responsive. No part of the Pricing Schedule information must be included in the Technical Envelope – scope of sub-contract values. A tenderer who includes the pricing schedule information in the Technical Envelope will be declared non-responsive
AMENDMENT 3	
	BOOK 2 OF 3
C1.1.2 FORM OF ACCEPTANCE	Replace " ProcurementNR1@sanral.co.za in point No 12. with "ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za "
Part C1.2.1: Conditions of Contract	Add the following after sub-paragraph (8.4.1) <i>g. In the event that its director/s of the Service Provider are found guilty by a Court of Law on the</i>



Clause 8.4.1	<i>counts of fraud charges against them, SANRAL reserves the right to terminate the contract and to assert all legal recourse available to it.</i>
Part C1.2.1: Conditions of Contract Clause 11	Add the following new sub-clause : 11.7 It is a condition of this contract that the Service Provider sub-contracts a minimum of 30% to Targeted Enterprise/s. - Minimum sub-contract requirement for all entities is 30%.
C1.2.2 CONTRACT DATA INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE EMPLOYER	Replace " ProcurementNR1@sanral.co.za " Clause 3.4 with " ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za "
C1.2.2 CONTRACT DATA INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE EMPLOYER	Replace the "30%" Clause 3.11.1(d) with "100%." Delete the following: 5.1.7 Local Targeted Enterprises from the Gauteng Province must participate in a minimum of 1/3 (one third) of the tendered percentage of the Contract Price (excluding provisional and prime cost sums and the respective mark-up, but including provision for site supervision staff and the respective mark-up. Replace with the following: 5.1.7 It is encouraged that Local Targeted Enterprises from the Gauteng Province participate in a minimum of 1/3 (one third) of the tendered percentage of the Contract Price (excluding provisional and prime cost sums and the respective mark-up, but including provision for site supervision staff and the respective mark-up.)
FORM D1	Add attached Appendix E, after sub-paragraph (j) as a next page.
AMENDMENT 4	
	BOOK 3 OF 3
Clause C3.7.3 Establishment of supervisory personnel on site	Clause C3.7.2 Establishment of supervisory personnel on site Delete the following:



	“1. 70% Replace with the following: “1. 80%																												
Clause C3.1.9 Project Programme	Amendments to the Table 3.1.9: Replace the following dates: Submission of Tenders / Proposals : “April 2023” with “ January 2024” Appointment of Service Provider: “June 2023” with “March 2024” Project Hand-over meeting: “July 2023” with “April 2024” First Progress meeting: “July 2023” with “April 2024”																												
Clause C3.7.3 Establishment of supervisory personnel on site	Delete and Replace clause C3.1.11 (d) with the following: d) Minimum requirements The minimum qualifications and requirements for the service and sub-Service Provider's personnel shall be as indicated in the table below. Minimum Requirements <table><tr><th>Position</th><th>Minimum Qualification/Registration</th><th>Minimum Relevant Experience (years)³</th><th>Other Minimum Requirements</th></tr><tr><td>Project Leader</td><td>Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng¹</td><td>10</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Assistant Project Leader</td><td>Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng¹</td><td><10</td><td>In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise</td></tr><tr><td>Design Specialist</td><td>Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng¹</td><td>10</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Assistant Design Specialist</td><td>Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng¹</td><td><10</td><td>In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise</td></tr><tr><td>Surveyor</td><td>Registered Professional Surveyors, Technologist Surveyors, Technician Surveyors</td><td>5</td><td>Member of SAGC⁷</td></tr><tr><td>Engineering Geologist</td><td>Pr Sci.Nat⁵</td><td>5</td><td>SAIEG⁴</td></tr></table>	Position	Minimum Qualification/Registration	Minimum Relevant Experience (years) ³	Other Minimum Requirements	Project Leader	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10		Assistant Project Leader	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	<10	In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise	Design Specialist	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10		Assistant Design Specialist	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	<10	In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise	Surveyor	Registered Professional Surveyors, Technologist Surveyors, Technician Surveyors	5	Member of SAGC ⁷	Engineering Geologist	Pr Sci.Nat ⁵	5	SAIEG ⁴
Position	Minimum Qualification/Registration	Minimum Relevant Experience (years) ³	Other Minimum Requirements																										
Project Leader	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10																											
Assistant Project Leader	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	<10	In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise																										
Design Specialist	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10																											
Assistant Design Specialist	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	<10	In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise																										
Surveyor	Registered Professional Surveyors, Technologist Surveyors, Technician Surveyors	5	Member of SAGC ⁷																										
Engineering Geologist	Pr Sci.Nat ⁵	5	SAIEG ⁴																										



Environmental Practitioner	Refer to sub-service procurement document		
OHS Specialist	Design Phase: SACPCMP ⁶ registration as a Professional Construction HSE Agent Construction Phase: SACPCMP ⁶ registration as a Professional Construction HSE Agent or Manager	As required by SACPCMP ⁶	
Road Safety Audit Team Leader	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10	Road safety course (5 CPD) Minimum 2 (two) audits within 3 (three) years
Contract Engineer	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	10	
Assistant Contract Engineer	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	<10	In permanent employment of the tendering entity or Targeted Enterprise
Engineer's Representative (RE)	Pr Eng or Pr Tech Eng ¹	5	
	Pr Techni Eng ¹	10	
Assistant RE	Technician or Candidate Engineer ¹	0	
Senior Materials Technician	None specified	20	
	National Diploma in civil engineering	10	
	Pr Techni Eng ¹	5	
Materials Technician	None specified	10	
	National Diploma in civil engineering	5	
	Pr Techni Eng ¹	2	
Trainee Technician	Candidate Technician	0	

¹ Registered with Engineering Council of South Africa (ECSA) or any other international body recognised by ECSA, i.e. Washington Accord, Dublin Accord and



	Sydney Accord. 2 Registered with Environmental Assessment Practitioners of South Africa. 3 Relevant experience is the actual number of years, measured from the date of acquiring the base NQF qualification (either B.Eng / BSc.Eng / B.EngSci or BSc / B.Eng Tech (Hon) PGDip (Engineering) / M.Eng for Pr.Eng or National Higher Diploma /National Diploma Eng/ Masters Diploma / Btech / B.Eng Tech / Dip Eng / Adv Dip Eng / AdvCert (Engineering Practice) plus Adv Dip Eng for Pr.Tech Eng and National Diploma / Dip Eng / Dip Eng Tech / AdvCert (Engineering Practice) / Higher Certificate (Eng) / Adv Cert (Engineering) / Adv Cert (Engineering Practice) for Pr.Techni Eng) or measured from the date of acquiring the minimum required professional registration, worked in the field of the specified position. For the road safety audit team leader the field shall be road safety design and/or traffic and transportation engineering and/or geometric design. 4 Full member of the South African Institute for Engineering Geologist. 5 Registered with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP). 6 South African Council for Project and Construction Management Professions (SACPCMP) 7 South African Geomatics Council (SAGC)
Part C4: Appendix J: 2nd Tier Procurement Procedure	Delete the following: (f) the first bullet point Pre-qualification B-BBEE level 1-4 (approval to deviate must be granted by Transformation based on a market research. (g) the second bullet point Pre-qualification B-BBEE level 1-4 (approval to deviate must be granted by Transformation based on a market research.

APPENDIX A

FORM A1.1: CERTIFICATE OF INTENTION TO SUBMIT A TENDER

CONTRACT SANRAL N.014-130-2023/1RF

Notes to Tenderer:

1. The duly completed certificate of intention to submit a tender must be submitted by whoever intends to tender for this particular tender prior to Wednesday, 6 December 2023. Failure to submit the certificate of intention to tender within the required period may render the tenderer non-responsive and SANRAL does not accept responsibility for any communication not received by the tenderer timeously.
2. Failure to submit this certificate would result in the tenderer not receiving addenda or additional issued information and may result in the tenderer being non-responsive if “any material amendment/s” contained in the addenda or additional information is not included in the tender offer/submission.
3. Late notification of intention to tender by a prospective tenderer will not necessarily result in the tender closing date being extended.
4. Should you intend to submit a tender for this particular tender please sign the certificate, scan and email the completed document to the email address indicated in T1.1 of this tender document.
5. The Employer shall send all correspondences, including Addenda, only to the Tenderer’s email address as provided herein; in addition, the Employer shall upload all correspondences on SANRAL website and National Treasury eTender Portal.

This is to certify that I,

.....

representative of (insert name of tenderer)

of (address)

.....

.....

telephone number

fax number

e-mail

SANRAL



BUILDING SOUTH AFRICA
THROUGH BETTER ROADS

intends to submit a tender in response to the tender notice and invitation for tender this contract.

TENDERER'S REPRESENTATIVE

Date

(Signature)

APPENDIX B

FORM A3.3: DECLARATION OF TENDERER'S PAST SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

CONTRACT SANRAL N.014-130-2023/1RF

Notes to tenderer:

1. This declaration:
 - (a) must form part of all tenders submitted.
 - (b) in the case of a joint venture (JV), must be completed and submitted by each member of the JV
2. This form serves as a declaration to be used by institutions in ensuring that when goods and services are being procured, all reasonable steps are taken to combat the abuse and/or misused the State's procurement of the supply chain management system.
3. The tender of any tenderer may be disregarded if that tenderer or any of its directors have –
 - (a) abused and/or misused the State's procurement and/or supply chain management system;
 - (b) committed fraud, corruption or any other improper conduct in relation to such State system and/or;
 - (c) has been charged with fraud, corruption or any other improper conduct whether of a criminal or civil nature during the course and scope of rendering services to the state or any other party and/or entity; or
 - (d) failed to perform on any previous contract [with the State].
4. In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with this tender.

4.1	Is the tenderer or any of its directors listed on the National Treasury's Database of Restricted Suppliers as companies or persons prohibited from doing business with the public sector? Companies or persons who are listed on this Database were informed in writing of this restriction by the Accounting Officer/ Authority of the institution that imposed the restriction after the <i>audi alteram partem</i> rule was applied. The Database of Restricted Suppliers now resides on the National Treasury website (www.treasury.gov.za) and can be accessed by clicking on its link at the bottom of the home page.	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.1.1	If Yes, furnish particulars:		
4.2	Is the tenderer or any of its directors listed on the Register for Tender Defaulters in terms of Section 29 of the Prevention and Combatting of Corrupt Activities Act (No. 12 of 2004)? The Register for Tender Defaulters can be accessed on the National Treasury website (www.treasury.gov.za) by clicking on its link at the bottom of the home page.	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.2.1	If Yes, furnish particulars:		



4.3	Was the tenderer or any of its directors convicted by a court of law (including a court outside the Republic of South Africa) for fraud or corruption during the past five years?	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.3.1	If Yes, furnish particulars:		
4.4	Was any contract between the tenderer and any organ of State terminated during the past five years on account of failure to perform on or comply with the contract?	Yes ☐	No ☐
4.4.1	If Yes, furnish particulars:		

CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned,

certify that the information furnished on this declaration form is true and correct.

I accept that, in addition to cancellation of a contract, action may be taken against me should this declaration prove to be false.

Signature:

Name:

Position:

Date:

Name of tenderer:

APPENDIX C:

PART A

INVITATION TO BID

YOU ARE HEREBY INVITED TO BID FOR REQUIREMENTS OF THE (NAME OF DEPARTMENT/ PUBLIC ENTITY)					
BID NUMBER:	N.014-130-2023/1RF	CLOSING DATE:	24 January 2023	CLOSING TIME:	11:00
DESCRIPTION	CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE RESURFACING OF NATIONAL ROUTE N14 SECTION 13 FROM KM 49.7 TO KM 67.69				
BID RESPONSE DOCUMENTS MAY BE DEPOSITED IN THE BID BOX SITUATED AT (STREET ADDRESS)					
The South African National Roads Agency SOC Ltd					
Northern Region					
38 Ida Street , Menlo Park					
Pretoria, 0081					
BIDDING PROCEDURE ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO			TECHNICAL ENQUIRIES MAY BE DIRECTED TO:		
CONTACT PERSON	Procurement Office		CONTACT PERSON	Procurement Office	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	N/A		TELEPHONE NUMBER	N/A	
FACSIMILE NUMBER	N/A		FACSIMILE NUMBER	NO CHANGE REQUIRED	
E-MAIL ADDRESS	ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za		E-MAIL ADDRESS	ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za	
SUPPLIER INFORMATION					
NAME OF BIDDER					
POSTAL ADDRESS					
STREET ADDRESS					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER		
CELLPHONE NUMBER					



FACSIMILE NUMBER	CODE		NUMBER	
E-MAIL ADDRESS				
VAT REGISTRATION NUMBER				
SUPPLIER COMPLIANCE STATUS	TAX COMPLIANCE SYSTEM PIN:		OR	CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE No: MAAA
1.1.1.1 ARE YOU THE ACCREDITED REPRESENTATIVE IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES OFFERED?	☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES ENCLOSE PROOF]	1.1.1.2 ARE YOU A FOREIGN BASED SUPPLIER FOR THE GOODS /SERVICES OFFERED?		☐ Yes ☐ No [IF YES, ANSWER THE QUESTIONNAIRE BELOW]
QUESTIONNAIRE TO BIDDING FOREIGN SUPPLIERS				
IS THE ENTITY A RESIDENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA (RSA)? ☐ YES ☐ NO DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A BRANCH IN THE RSA? ☐ YES ☐ NO DOES THE ENTITY HAVE A PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT IN THE RSA? ☐ YES ☐ NO DOES THE ENTITY HAVE ANY SOURCE OF INCOME IN THE RSA? ☐ YES ☐ NO IS THE ENTITY LIABLE IN THE RSA FOR ANY FORM OF TAXATION? ☐ YES ☐ NO IF THE ANSWER IS "NO" TO ALL OF THE ABOVE, THEN IT IS NOT A REQUIREMENT TO REGISTER FOR A TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS SYSTEM PIN CODE FROM THE SOUTH AFRICAN REVENUE SERVICE (SARS) AND IF NOT REGISTER AS PER 2.3 BELOW.				

PART B

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR BIDDING

1. BID SUBMISSION:
1.1. BIDS MUST BE DELIVERED BY THE STIPULATED TIME TO THE CORRECT ADDRESS. LATE BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR CONSIDERATION.
1.2. ALL BIDS MUST BE SUBMITTED ON THE OFFICIAL FORMS PROVIDED (NOT TO BE RE-TYPED) OR IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED IN THE BID DOCUMENT.
1.3. THIS BID IS SUBJECT TO THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK ACT, 2000 AND THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, THE GENERAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT (GCC) AND, IF APPLICABLE, ANY OTHER SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT.
1.4. THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WILL BE REQUIRED TO FILL IN AND SIGN A WRITTEN CONTRACT FORM (SBD7).
2. TAX COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS
2.1 BIDDERS MUST ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR TAX OBLIGATIONS.
2.2 BIDDERS ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THEIR UNIQUE PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (PIN) ISSUED BY SARS TO ENABLE THE ORGAN OF STATE TO VERIFY THE TAXPAYER'S PROFILE AND TAX STATUS.
2.3 APPLICATION FOR TAX COMPLIANCE STATUS (TCS) PIN MAY BE MADE VIA E-FILING THROUGH THE SARS WEBSITE WWW.SARS.GOV.ZA .
2.4 BIDDERS MAY ALSO SUBMIT A PRINTED TCS CERTIFICATE TOGETHER WITH THE BID.
2.5 IN BIDS WHERE CONSORTIA / JOINT VENTURES / SUB-CONTRACTORS ARE INVOLVED; EACH PARTY MUST SUBMIT A SEPARATE TCS CERTIFICATE / PIN / CSD NUMBER.
2.6 WHERE NO TCS PIN IS AVAILABLE BUT THE BIDDER IS REGISTERED ON THE CENTRAL SUPPLIER DATABASE (CSD), A CSD NUMBER MUST BE PROVIDED.
2.7 NO BIDS WILL BE CONSIDERED FROM PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, COMPANIES WITH DIRECTORS WHO ARE PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE, OR CLOSE CORPORATIONS WITH MEMBERS PERSONS IN THE SERVICE OF THE STATE."

NB: FAILURE TO PROVIDE / OR COMPLY WITH ANY OF THE ABOVE PARTICULARS MAY RENDER THE BID INVALID.

SIGNATURE OF BIDDER:

CAPACITY UNDER WHICH THIS BID IS SIGNED:

(Proof of authority must be submitted e.g. company resolution)

DATE:

APPENDIX D:

FORM B2.1: KEY PERSON'S QUALIFICATION AND REGISTRATION RECORD

Note to tenderer:

1. Must complete Form B2.1 provided in Microsoft Excel format.
2. Attach letter confirming permanent employment or signed letter of consent from contracted person.
3. Attach proof of qualification.
4. Attach professional registration record.
5. The tender will be declared non-responsive if:
 - d) The proof of qualification is not submitted if the measured actual years of experience is measured from the base qualification as defined in clause C3.1.11(d); or
 - e) Professional registration record is not submitted at tender closure and cannot be verified; or
 - f) The proposed key person does not have appropriate qualification; or
 - g) The proposed key person is not registered.

Refer to EXCEL file
provided

APPENDIX E:

**PREFERENCE POINTS CLAIM FORM IN TERMS OF THE PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS
2022 (SBD 6.1)**

This preference form must form part of all tenders invited. It contains general information and serves as a claim form for preference points for specific goals.

NB: BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM, TENDERERS MUST STUDY THE GENERAL CONDITIONS, DEFINITIONS AND DIRECTIVES APPLICABLE IN RESPECT OF THE TENDER AND PREFERENTIAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS, 2022

1. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 The following preference point systems are applicable to invitations to tender:

- the 80/20 system for requirements with a Rand value of up to R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included); and
- the 90/10 system for requirements with a Rand value above R50 000 000 (all applicable taxes included).

1.2 **To be completed by the organ of state**

- a) The applicable preference point system for this tender is the **80/20** preference point system.

1.3 Points for this tender (even in the case of a tender for income-generating contracts) shall be awarded for:

- (a) Price; and
- (b) Specific Goals.

1.4 **To be completed by the organ of state:**

The maximum points for this tender are allocated as follows:

	POINTS
PRICE	80

SPECIFIC GOALS	20
Total points for Price and Specific Goals	100

- 1.5 Failure on the part of a tenderer to submit proof or documentation required in terms of this tender to claim points for specific goals with the tender, will be interpreted to mean that preference points for specific goals are not claimed.
- 1.6 The organ of state reserves the right to require of a tenderer, either before a tender is adjudicated or at any time subsequently, to substantiate any claim in regard to preferences, in any manner required by the organ of state.

2. DEFINITIONS

- (a) **“tender”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation to provide goods or services through price quotations, competitive tendering process or any other method envisaged in legislation;
- (b) **“price”** means an amount of money tendered for goods or services, and includes all applicable taxes less all unconditional discounts;
- (c) **“rand value”** means the total estimated value of a contract in Rand, calculated at the time of bid invitation, and includes all applicable taxes;
- (d) **“tender for income-generating contracts”** means a written offer in the form determined by an organ of state in response to an invitation for the origination of income-generating contracts through any method envisaged in legislation that will result in a legal agreement between the organ of state and a third party that produces revenue for the organ of state, and includes, but is not limited to, leasing and disposal of assets and concession contracts, excluding direct sales and disposal of assets through public auctions; and
- (e) **“the Act”** means the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act, 2000 (Act No. 5 of 2000).

3. FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

3.1. POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

3.1.1 THE 80/20 OR 90/10 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 or 90 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{80/20} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{90/10} \\
 \\
 \mathbf{P_s = 80 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)} & \mathbf{or} & \mathbf{P_s = 90 \left(1 - \frac{P_t - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)}
 \end{array}$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

4. POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 4.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 4.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
- (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system, then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Criteria	Number of points allocated (90/10 system)	Number of points allocated (80/20 system)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)
B-BBEE level scorecard of the tendering entity.	B-BBEE Level 1	10.00	20.00	
	B-BBEE Level 2	9.00	18.00	
	B-BBEE Level 3	6.00	14.00	



	B-BBEE Level 4	5.00	12.00	
	B-BBEE Level 5	4.00	8.00	
	B-BBEE Level 6	3.00	6.00	
	B-BBEE Level 7	2.00	4.00	
	B-BBEE Level 8	1.00	2.00	
	Non-compliant contributor	0.00	0.00	

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.

5. DECLARATION WITH REGARD TO COMPANY/FIRM

5.1. Name of company/firm.....

5.2. Company registration number:

5.3. TYPE OF COMPANY/ FIRM

- ☐ Partnership/Joint Venture / Consortium
- ☐ One-person business/sole propriety
- ☐ Close corporation
- ☐ Public Company
- ☐ Personal Liability Company
- ☐ (Pty) Limited
- ☐ Non-Profit Company
- ☐ State Owned Company

[TICK APPLICABLE BOX]

5.4. I, the undersigned, who is duly authorised to do so on behalf of the company/firm, certify that the points claimed, based on the specific goals as advised in the tender, qualifies the company/ firm for the preference(s) shown and I acknowledge that:

- i) The information furnished is true and correct;
- ii) The preference points claimed are in accordance with the General Conditions as indicated in paragraph 1 of this form;
- iii) In the event of a contract being awarded as a result of points claimed as shown in paragraphs 1.4 and 4.2, the contractor may be required to furnish documentary proof to the satisfaction of the organ of state that the claims are correct;
- iv) If the specific goals have been claimed or obtained on a fraudulent basis or any of the conditions of contract have not been fulfilled, the organ of state may, in addition to any other remedy it may have –
 - (a) disqualify the person from the tendering process;
 - (b) recover costs, losses or damages it has incurred or suffered as a result of that person's conduct;
 - (c) cancel the contract and claim any damages which it has suffered as a result of having to make less favourable arrangements due to such cancellation;
 - (d) recommend that the tenderer or contractor, its shareholders and directors, or only the shareholders and directors who acted on a fraudulent basis, be restricted from obtaining business from any organ of state for a period not exceeding 10 years, after the audi alteram partem (hear the other side) rule has been applied; and
 - (e) forward the matter for criminal prosecution, if deemed necessary.

..... SIGNATURE(S) OF TENDERER(S)	
SURNAME AND NAME:	
DATE:	
ADDRESS:	

The South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited
P.O. Box 415
Pretoria
0001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

I _____

Representing Messrs _____

Hereby acknowledge that I have received the above Addendum and that I am conversant with the contents thereof.

SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: _____

This Addendum is to be read in conjunction with and shall be deemed to form part of the Contract Documents.

You must therefore acknowledge receipt of this addendum by emailing this form to the South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited at ProcurementNR4@sanral.co.za and the original must be attached to the Returnable Schedules.

Failure to acknowledge receipt of this addendum` may invalidate the Tender.